



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

that every confessor is bound, under mortal sin, to give absolution, even against his own conscience, to every one who can produce one of their authors to justify his crime!—Letter 5.

But it is upon the question of the necessity of loving God that Pascal is more indignant against the doctrine of the Jesuits than on any other. In his tenth letter, he reckons up all their opinions on the question—"At what time is a man obliged to have actual love to God?" One says, "before he dies;" another, "at the moment of death;" another, "when he is baptized;" another, "on festival days;" another says, "all these are wrong;" another says, "once a year;" another, "once every three or four years;" another, "every five years;" another says, "it is *probable* that he is not rigorously obliged to every five years;" another says, "the command is not so much to love God, as not to hate him!"

On this Pascal says, "They go to reverse the whole law of God. They violate the great commandment, which contains the law and the prophets; they attack piety at the heart; they take from it the spirit that gives it life; they say that the love of God is not necessary to salvation; . . . they take off the anathema which St. Paul pronounces against those who love not the Lord Jesus Christ; they destroy what St. John says—that he who loves not the Lord Jesus Christ abides in death; . . . thus they make worthy of enjoying God through eternity, those who never loved him all their lives! Behold the mystery of iniquity fulfilled."

We ask our readers to remember that we are here expressing no opinion of our own either for or against the Jesuits.

But we find Pascal appealed to by our correspondent as a proof that it is the Church of Rome that possesses the religion of the heart, and when we turn to his writings we find indeed that he did possess the true religion of the heart, the doctrine of the Gospel of the grace of God. But we find also the whole machinery of the Church of Rome—Popes, Cardinals, Colleges, Jesuits, all striving every nerve to crush this doctrine both in him and in those who taught him. We find him, in letters which for learning and proof, and wit and temper, have never been equalled in controversy, convicting them of destroying the very foundations of religion and morality. Yes, we find the Pope and the Church of Rome using all their power to the utmost to sustain these Jesuits against him, and to extinguish him and all other Jansenists. We find that the Jesuits are, day by day, getting more and more dominion over the Roman Church; and our chief desire is to revive among Roman Catholics that religion of the heart which Pascal so eminently possessed.

A copy of a Tuam newspaper has been forwarded to us, containing a correspondence between the Rev. James Fowler, the curate of that town, and Mr. Lockhart, formerly of Oxford, and now a Roman Catholic clergyman. We cannot afford space to insert this correspondence in our columns, and content ourselves with giving a brief account of the substance of it. Mr. Lockhart, it seems, in arguing against the position that Scripture is our sole rule of faith, named certain doctrines which, he asserted, were essential parts of the Christian faith, and which were yet incapable of Scriptural proof. On the general line of argument here adopted by Mr. Lockhart we may observe that it is more calculated to make men unbelievers than to make them Roman Catholics; for if he could succeed in showing Protestants that doctrines which they had been accustomed to receive as essential parts of their faith did not in reality rest on Scriptural authority, most of them would be more disposed to reject the doctrines than to receive them without such proof. He is not the only Roman

Catholic, however, who is fond of using this "kill or cure" remedy; too many of the advocates of the Roman Catholic Church, in this respect, resembling the woman whom Solomon discovered not to be the mother of the child she claimed, by her readiness to suffer it to be slain, if it were not to belong to her.

To this challenge, however, of Mr. Lockhart's Mr. Fowler replied, by referring to a number of Scripture texts, as containing the proofs required by Mr. Lockhart. The latter retorted, with an examination of Mr. Fowler's Scripture proofs, arriving at the result that not one of them was conclusive. Thereupon Mr. Fowler replied, by printing his previous letter, in parallel columns, with passages out of the Catechism of the Council of Trent, from which he had purposely borrowed the proofs in question. Mr. Lockhart still persisted in denying that the proofs were valid, and the (Roman Catholic) editor of the Tuam paper triumphed over Mr. Fowler as if he were unable to prove his doctrines on Protestant grounds, and were obliged to have recourse to Roman Catholic authorities.

It appears to us, however, that if a proof be good, it remains good, no matter who makes use of it. If what the Catechism of the Council of Trent puts forward as Scripture proofs be so in reality, they will be so still in the mouths of Protestants. And even if a Protestant were to borrow his Scriptural arguments from Roman Catholic authorities, he would still argue on Protestant grounds, as long as the arguments were founded on Scripture only.

But this correspondence of Mr. Lockhart's suggests to us an important reflection. We discover here that the Scripture proofs put forward by the Catechism of the Council of Trent, for doctrines which Protestants and Roman Catholics hold in common, are not regarded by Roman Catholics as proofs at all. They receive the doctrines on the authority of the Council, and not because they can be proved from Scripture, or, at least, they take it on the Council's authority that the texts in question *are* proofs. These proofs are like the pillars which architects sometimes place, for ornament, in front of their buildings, which, though they appear to be props for support, are, in reality, part of the structure to be supported.

Now, the reflection arises, may it not be the same with what Roman Catholics put forward as Scripture proofs of doctrines which Protestants do not hold? Are we not, perhaps, wasting our labour in showing that the texts brought forward in support of Purgatory or prayers to the saints do not, in reality, prove these doctrines? Probably, if we held these doctrines ourselves, and were to attempt to bring forward the same texts in support of them, Mr. Lockhart and his friends would then discover that the texts did not prove the doctrines at all, and that the authority of their Church is, in reality, the sole support which these doctrines have. We shall consider this matter hereafter.

ON THE INTERNAL EVIDENCES OF THE CATHOLIC RELIGION.

WE promised to consider, in this number, "whether the Church of Rome or the Church of England and Ireland professes the religion most suited to supply the real wants of fallen man."

We might have offered arguments from various sources to throw light upon this question; but we cannot now. Things that are seen with our eyes and felt with our hearts leave no place for argument; and the writer of this, within the last few days, and the last few hours, has witnessed scenes in which it has been given him to see with his eyes, that the Gospel which we preach "is the power of God unto salvation, to every one that believeth."—Rom. i. 16.

He has seen a man in the prime of life brought down in a brief period to the grave—one who filled a position of extensive influence, honoured and beloved by all around him, and adorning every relation in life; the head of a large and united family, who, under his ceaseless care and

cheerful influence, afforded the brightest example of domestic happiness.

Oh, what a change, to see this strong man struck down, laid low by pain and weakness; to see that happy family all weeping now; to see his partner in a union whose happiness no shade had ever darkened, as she appeared to treasure up each failing breath that brought it to a close!

It was a time to try the religion of that man and family, and of the Church that taught them; it was a time to try if their's were the religion of the heart; if that religion had power to support *him* in that so sudden passage from this world's truest joys to that dark descent, that unknown journey, at which our nature shudders; it was a time to try if that religion could support *them* in that greatest of all earthly trials, that approaching desolation of that so happy home.

That face that so long had beamed, and made so many other faces beam with happiness—so sadly changed by suffering and pain—yet still more changed in this, that earthly happiness had given place to smiles of heavenly joy. All earthly thoughts were put away; no care of earthly things disturbed that breast; the objects of his earthly love were given up with cheerful confidence to the Heavenly Father's care and love, to Him whose everlasting love was *felt and known*.

One only thought and care for them remained—for wife, for children, servants, all whom he had cared for with such earnest care; and that thought was, to tell, to warn, to entreat them all, with all the fervour of a final parting—with all the calmness of an everlasting union—of that which gave him peace and joy in nature's final struggle, of that which he declared *would never fail them*, though all earthly joys should fail.

And then, to see those gushing sorrows all around him hushed into a calmness and tranquillity almost approaching to his own—to see grief itself compelled to rejoice, *because he was happy then!*

This was indeed to *see and feel* that the Gospel is indeed the power of God to them that believe.

And what did this? What caused this dawn of heavenly joy to shine out of that utter darkness of all human happiness? He told it himself to the writer of these lines. These were his words—"All that ever I did seems to me now no better than filthy rags in the sight of God. All my hope and confidence is in Christ my Saviour; and in Christ my Saviour I have hope, and peace, and joy."

And this faith was the source of light arising out of darkness—of joy striving against sorrow, alike in them that lived and in him that died.

Had Mr. M^{an}us witnessed this striking scene, *could* he have said, in presence of such evidence of the grace and power of God, that the Church of which this man was a faithful member had only "set before his mind a dry and barren abstraction of a Deity—had held forth an empty vessel to the parched and thirsty wanderer in the desert—had stripped Christianity of all that can make it lovely and attractive?"

Had she not led him rather to an all-sufficient Saviour, who is able to keep that which is committed to him? (2 Tim. i. 12.) and to drink out of the river of the water of life, that proceedeth out of the throne of God and of the Lamb?—Rev. xxii. 1.

What else was wanting, then, to make faith a victory that overcometh the world?—1 John v. 4.

Was it masses for dead, to be offered over and over again, year by year continually, and thus proclaiming, by their own vain repetition, that they cannot satisfy? What sacrifice can then best satisfy the soul?—"Christ, who was offered ONCE, to exhaust the sins of many."—Hebrews ix. 28, Douay Bible. Who "by one oblation hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified."—Heb. x. 14. Or, "the self-same sacrifices, which they offer continually every year, (and which) can never make the comers thereunto perfect, for then they would have ceased to be offered."—Heb. x. 1, 2.

Or what was wanting then to complete the consolation that religion gave to that family under their greatest trial? Whether was it happier for them then to believe, with the Church of Rome, that those sharp but brief pains were but the entrance into ages, perhaps, of agonizing torture—ages which the Church of Rome gives no means to calculate, of which she never gives security that the torture is over, lest no more masses should be paid for—or to believe in the Word of God—the voice from heaven—"Blessed are the dead who die in the Lord. From henceforth now, saith the Spirit, that they may rest from their labours."—Apoc. or Rev. xiv. 13, Douay Bible.

Many such dying scenes it has been the writer's privilege to witness; and from his heart and from the evidence of his senses he can repel the assertion, that his is "a cold and lifeless system, unable to make any solid impression on the heart and affections."

If any should be moved to say in his heart, on reading this account—"May I die the death of the righteous, and may my last end be like his," let him seek for that righteousness which Paul, the chief of sinners, found; "not having mine own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith."—Philip. iii. 9.

If any would find the "peace at the last," which this man found, let him seek it through life, as this man sought it, by "believing in Jesus."